NPDES Permit Rating Sheet AR0000752 NPDES No.: Regular Addition El Dorado Chemical Company Facility Name: Discretionary Addition El Dorado City: Score Change, but no status change unnamed tributary of Flat Creek Receiving water: Deletion Phone Number: Is this facility a stream electric power plant (SIC=4911) Is this Permit for a municipal separate storm sewer serving a with one or more of the following characteristics? population greater than 100,000? Yes - STOP HERE Score = 7001. Power output 500 MW or greater? (Not using a cooling pond/lake) No - Continue **x** 2. A nuclear power plant 3. Cooling water discharge greater than 25% of the Receiving stream's 7Q10 flow rate Score = 600Yes - STOP HERE No- Continue **x Factor 1: Toxic Pollutant Potential**

PCS SIC Code: Primary SIC Code: 2873 Other SIC Code: NAICS: (Code 000 if no subcategory) Industrial Subcategory Code:

Determine the Toxicity potential from Appendix A. Be sure to use the TOTAL toxicity potential column and check one.

Toxicity Group	Coc	de Po	ints	Toxicity Group	Code	Points	Toxicity Group		Code	Points	
NPWS*		0	0	3	3	15	7		7	35	
1 x	(1	5	4	4	20	8		8	40	
2		2	10	5	5	25	9		9	45	
*No Process	Wast	te Stre	am	6	6	30	10		10	50	
								(Code C	hecked:	
								P	oints F	actor 1:	

Factor 2: Flow/Stream Flow Volume (Complete either Section A or Section B; check only one)

WW Type	Flow (MGD)	Code	Points			
Type I:	<5	11	0			
	5 to 10	12	10			
	>10 to 50	13	20			
	>50	14	30			
Type II:	<1	21	10			
	1 to 5	22	20			
	>5 to 10	23	30 x			
	>10	24	50			
Type III:	<1	31	0			
	1 to 5	32	10			
	>5 to 10	33	20			
	>10	34	30			
* % IWC at receiving stream low flow						

Section A-Wastewater Flow Only Considered

Section B-Wastewater and Stream Flow Considered

% WW*	Code	Points	
<10	41	0	
≥ 10 to < 50	42	10	
≥50	43	20	
<10	51	0	
≥ 10 to < 50	52	20	
≥50	53	30	
	<10 ≥10 to <50 ≥50 <10 ≥10 to <50	<10 41 $\ge 10 \text{ to } <50$ 42 ≥ 50 43 <10 51 $\ge 10 \text{ to } <50$ 52	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Code Checked: **Total Points Factor 2:**

NPDES No.: AR0000752

* % IWC at receiving stream low flow

Factor 3: Conventional Pollutants (Only when limited by the permit)

Oxygen Demanding Pollutant: (Check one) CBOD X BOD COD Permit Limits: (Check One) **Code Points** <100 lbs/day 0 1 5 100 to 1000 lbs/day 2 >1000 to 3000 lbs/day 3 15 >3000 lbs/day 20 Code Checked: Points Checked: 15 B. Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Permit Limits: (Check One) **Code Points** <100 lbs/day 1 100 to 1000 lbs/day 2 5 >1000 to 3000 lbs/day 3 15 >3000 lbs/day 20 Code Checked: Points Checked: 20 N/A AMMONIA OTHER **x** C. Nitrogen Pollutant: (Check One) **Code Points** Permit Limits: (Check One) <300 lbs/day 1 2 300 to 1000 lbs/day 5 >1000 to 3000 lbs/day 3 15 >3000 lbs/day 20 Code Checked: Points Checked: Total Points Factor 3: 50

Factor 4: Public Health Impact

Is there a public drinking water supply located within 50 miles downstream of the effluent discharge (this includes any body of water to which the receiving water is a tributary)? A public drinking water supply may include filtration galleries, or other methods of conveyance that ultimately get water from the above referenced supply.

Yes (If yes, check toxicity potential number below)
No (If no, go to factor 5)

Determine the human health toxicity potential from Appendix A. Use the same SIC code and subcategory reference as in Factor 1. (Be sure to use the <u>human health</u> toxicity group column-check one below)

Toxicity Group		Code P	oints	Toxicity Group	Code	Points	Toxici Group	Code	Points
NPWS*		0	0	3	3	0	7	7	15
1		1	0	4	4	0	8	8	20
2		2	0	5	5	5	9	9	25
*No Proce	ess '	Waste St	ream	6	6	10	10	10	30

Code Checked: 0
Total Points Factor 4: 0

NPDES No.: AR0000752

Factor 5: Water Quality Factors

technology-based	technology-based Federal ELGs, or technology-based State ELGs), or has a WLA been assigned to the discharge?					
		Yes X No	Code Points 1 10 2 0			
B. Is the receiving	water in comp	liance with applicable WQSs f	for pollutants	s that are wat	er quality-limited in the permit?	
C. Door the offly	ant discharged	Yes No x	Code Points 1 0 2 5	ial to violata	WOSs due to whole offluent tovicity?	
C. Does the effice	ent discharged	-	_	iai to violate	WQSs due to whole effluent toxicity?	
		Yes X No	Code Points 1 10 2 0			
				Checked: A Checked: A	: 10 B: 5 C: 10	
				То	tal Points Factor 5: 25	
Factor 6: Prox	imity to Ne	ar Coastal Waters		N/A	C	
A. Base Score:	Flow co	de from Factor 2: 23	M	ultiplication	factor for flow code: 0.60	
	HPRI # 1 2 3 4 5	Code HPRI Score 1 20 2 0 3 30 4 0 5 20		Flow Code 11, 31, or 4 12, 32, or 4 13, 33, or 4 14 or 34 21 or 51 22 or 52 23 or 53 24	1 0 2 0.05	
	Base Score:	(HPRI Score) 0 x Flow C	Code Multipl	HPRI lication Facto	Code Checked: or 0.60 = 0 Base Points	
	B. Additional Points - NEP Program: For a facility that has an HPRI code of 3, does the facility discharge to one of the estuaries enrolled in the National Estuary Protection (NEP) program (See instructions) or the Chesapeake Bay?					
Code Points						
		Yes No	1 10 2 0			
		_		Addito	Code Checked: - onal NEP Points: 0	
		s Area of Concern for a facility nto one of the Great Lakes' 31			of 5, does the facility discharge struction)	
		Yes No	Code Points 1 10 2 0			
				1122 10	Code Checked:	
			A		Points Footen 6:	
				1 otal	Points Factor 6: 0	
Score Summa	ry			N	IPDES No.: 0	
	Factor	Description		Points		
	1	Toxic Pollutant Pote	ential	5		
	2	Flow/Stream Flow V	olume	30		

3	Conventional Pollutants	50
4	Public Health Impacts	0
5	Water Quality Factors	25
6	Proximity to Near Coastal Waters	0

Total Points 110

	Total Score is 80 or more - Fa	acility is a MAJOR facility
	Would you like this facility to be discre	etionary major?
Reason:		
New Score: Old Score:	110	
		Loretta Carstens, P.E.
		Permit Reviewer's Name
		(501) 682-0612
		Phone Number
		10/11/2022 Date